IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In resopplication of: Bonnie M. Davis

ed: March 14, 2002

Art Unit.:

1617

Filed: March 14, 2002 For: USE OF MOI

Examiner:

Renee Claytor

or: USE OF MODULATORS OF NICOTINIC RECEPTORS FOR TREATMENT OF COGNITIVE DYSFUNCTION

255.00 OP

01 FC:2401

Commissioner for Patents P. O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

NOTICE OF APPEAL FROM THE PRIMARY EXAMINER TO THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES (37 C.F.R. 1.191)

NOTE.	An appeal may be based on one rejection in a prior app of Oct. 10, 1997, 62 F.R. 53131, at 53167.	olication and one rejection in a continuing application. Notice
NOTE.	There is no requirement for a notice of appeal to: (1) be claims. Notice of Oct, 10, 1997, 62 F.R. 53131, at 531	signed (see, 37 C.F.R. 41.31(3)(b)) or (2) identify the appealed 67.
[x] <u>Augu</u>		the decision of the Primary Examiner, mailed 138 for at least the second time.
NOTE.	In an ex parte reexamination filed after November 29, claims. MPEP § 2273 (8th Edition, Rev. 2)	1999, an appeal may be taken only after the final rejection of
	atent Owner hereby appeals to the Board from, finally rejecting claims he item(s) checked below are appropriate:	the decision of the Examiner, mailed
	CERTIFICATE OF MAILING/TRA	ANSMISSION (37 C.F.R. 1.8(a))
I hereb	y certify that, on the date shown below, this corresponden	nce is being:
	MAILING	FACSIMILE
su ac	eposited with the United States Postal Service with afficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to the Commissioner for Patents, P. O. Box 150, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.	transmitted by facsimile to the Patent and Trademark Office. to (571)-273-8300 Signature
Date: 02/13/2008 WASFAW1	February 11, 2008	John Richards (type or print name of person certifying

1.	A Pre-Appeal Brief Request fo	r Review	
	[] is attached as required [] is not attached	therefor	
2.	STATUS OF APPLICANT		
	This application is qualified as		-
	[x] a small entity. [] other than a small enti	y.	
3.	FEE FOR FILING NOTICE O	FAPPEAL	
	The fee for filing the Notice of	Appeal is:	
	[x] a small entity [] other than a small enti	\$255.00 sy \$510.00	
		Notice of Appeal fee due \$ 255.	.00
4.	EXTENSION OF TERM		
NO	processing or examination of an that are taken to reply to any notice measuring such three-month pericase the period of adjustment set after the date that is three months applicant of the rejection, objection	plicant shall be deemed to have failed to engage application for the cumulative total of any perion or action by the Office making any rejection, or or from the date the notice or action was maile forth in § 1.703 shall be reduced by the number after the date of mailing or transmission of the on, argument, or other request and ending on the reply that is set in the Office action or notice has	ods of time in excess of three months bjection, argument, or other request, d or given to the applicant, in which of days, if any beginning on the day Office communication notifying the e date the reply was filed. The period,
NO		F.R. 41.31 are subject to the provision of \S 1.12 for extension of time in interference proceedings lings).	
	(c	omplete (a) or (b), as applicable)	
	The proceedings herein are for	a patent application and the provisio	ns of 37 C.F.R.1.136 apply.
		s for an extension of time under 37 C the total number of months checked b	
	Extension (months)	Fee for other than small entity	Fee for small entity

3

Extension (months)	Fee for other than small entity	_	ee for all entity
one month	\$ 120.00	\$	60.00
two months	\$ 460.00	\$	230.00
three months	\$ 1,050.00	\$	525.00
four months	\$ 1,630.00	\$	815.00
	Fee \$ <u>525.00</u>		

		(check and complete the next item, if applicable)
		[] An extension formonths has already been secured, and the fee paid therefore of \$ is deducted from the total fee due for the total months of extension now requested.
		Extension fee due with this request \$
		or
	(b)	[] Applicant believes that no extension of term is required. However, this conditional petition is being made to provide for the possibility that applicant has inadvertently overlooked the need for a petition and fee for extension of time.
5.	то	TAL FEE DUE
Th	e tot	al fee due is:
	No	tice of Appeal fee \$ 255.00
	Ext	tension fee (if any) \$ <u>525.00</u> TOTAL FEE DUE \$ <u>780.00</u>
6.	FE	E PAYMENT
	[]	Attached is a check in the sum of \$_780.00. Charge Account No the sum of \$ duplicate of this transmittal is attached.
7.	FE	E DEFICIENCY OR OVERPAYMENT
NG	OTE:	If there is a fee deficiency and there is no authorization to charge an account, additional fees are necessary to cover the additional time consumed in making up the original deficiency. If the maximum, six-month period has expired before the deficiency is noted and corrected, the application is held abandoned. In those instances where authorization to charge is included, processing delays are encountered in resuming the papers to the PTO Finance Branch in order to apply these charges prior to action on the cases. Authorization to charge the deposit account for any fee deficiency should be checked. See the Notice of April 7, 1986, 1065 O.G 31-33.
	×	If any additional extension and/or fee is required, this is a request therefor and to charge Accoun No. $\underline{12-0425}$.
		AND/OR
	×	If any additional fee for claims is required, charge Account No. 12-0425.
		AND/OR
	Ø	Refund any overpayment to Account No. <u>12-0425</u> .

If an additional extension of time is required, please consider this a petition therefor.

Reg. No.: 31,053

Tel. No.: (212)708-1915

Customer No.: 00140

SIGNATURE OF PRACTITIONER

JOHN RICHARDS

(type or print name of practitioner)

P.O. Address

c/o Ladas & Parry LLP 26 West 61st Street New York, N.Y. 10023